

1 BENJAMIN TAYLOR (State Bar No. 240636)
2 btaylor@taylorlawfirmpc.com
3 DIANE BANI ESRAILI (State Bar No. 321269)
4 dbani@taylorlawfirmpc.com
5 THE LAW OFFICES OF BENJAMIN TAYLOR
6 A Professional Corporation
7 1880 Century Park East, Suite 714
8 Los Angeles, California 90067
9 Telephone: (310) 201-7600
10 Facsimile: (310) 201-7601

11 Attorneys for Defendant
12 DAVID NAJAR

Electronically FILED by
Superior Court of California,
County of Los Angeles
11/15/2023 4:15 PM
David W. Slayton,
Executive Officer/Clerk of Court,
By E. Montagna, Deputy Clerk

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

IDEAL LIVING, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company; PETER SPIEGEL, an
individual; and KATHLEEN WILLIAMS, an
individual,

Plaintiff,

v.

DAVID NAJAR, an individual, and DOES 1
through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: 23VECV04262

ANSWER BY DEFENDANT
DAVID NAJAR

Action filed: September 26, 2023
Trial date: None set.

1 Defendant DAVID NAJAR (“Defendant”) hereby answers the Complaint of IDEAL LIVING,
2 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company; PETER SPIEGEL, an individual; and KATHLEEN
3 WILLIAMS, an individual (“Plaintiffs”), as follows:

4 **GENERAL DENIAL**

5 Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30(d), Defendant answers the
6 Complaint by denying each and every material allegation of the Complaint and each and every
7 purported cause of action contained therein and by denying that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the
8 relief sought therein.

9 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

10 Without admitting any of the allegations of the Complaint, Defendant asserts the following
11 affirmative defenses:

12 **FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

13 **(Failure to State Facts Sufficient to Constitute a Cause of Action)**

14 1. The Complaint and each of its purported causes of action fails to state facts sufficient
15 to constitute a cause of action or to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

16 **SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

17 **(Failure to State a Claim for Relief)**

18 2. The Complaint and each of its purported causes of action fails to state a claim upon
19 which relief can be granted as to the damages alleged.

20 **THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

21 **(Consent)**

22 3. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred by the equitable doctrine of consent.

23 **FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

24 **(Estoppel)**

25 4. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred by the equitable doctrine of estoppel.

26 **FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

27 **(Complaint is Uncertain)**

28 5. The Complaint is uncertain, ambiguous, and unintelligible.

1 **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

2 **(Waiver)**

3 6. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred by the equitable doctrine of waiver.

4 **SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

5 **(Laches)**

6 7. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred by the equitable doctrine of laches.

7 **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

8 **(Speculative Damages)**

9 8. The damages alleged in the Complaint are speculative and based on guesswork and
10 conjecture, and they are impossible to ascertain or allocate.

11 **NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

12 **(Damages Resulted from Own Acts)**

13 9. The damages, if any, suffered by Plaintiffs are the result of their own acts or omissions.

14 **TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

15 **(Failure to Mitigate Damages)**

16 10. Plaintiffs have failed to take appropriate and necessary steps to mitigate damages, if any
17 exist.

18 **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

19 **(Unclean Hands)**

20 11. The Complaint and each cause of action alleged therein is barred by the doctrine of
21 unclean hands.

22 **TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

23 **(Fraud)**

24 12. Plaintiffs are not entitled to the relief he seeks in the Complaint because the claims
25 contained therein are barred by his own fraudulent acts.

26 ///

27 ///

1 **THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

2 **(Unjust Enrichment)**

3 13. The Complaint, and each purported cause of action contained therein, is barred, in
4 whole or in part, because it would result in unjust enrichment to Plaintiffs. Namely, Defendant
5 asserts that Plaintiffs are seeking to recover more than Plaintiffs are entitled to recover in this case,
6 and award of the judgment sought by Plaintiffs would unjustly enrich Plaintiffs.

7 **FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

8 **(Set-Off)**

9 14. Plaintiffs' claims are subject to set-off based on their own wrongdoing.

10 **FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

11 **(No Claim for Punitive Damages)**

12 15. Plaintiffs' claims and prayers for punitive or exemplary damages do not state facts
13 sufficient to enable Plaintiffs to recover such damages under section 3294 of the Civil Code.

14 **SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

15 **(Statute of Limitations)**

16 16. The claims in the Complaint are barred by application of the applicable statute of
17 limitations.

18 **SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

19 **(Exemplary Damages - Excessive Fines)**

20 17. Defendant alleges, based on information and belief, that Plaintiffs' Complaint, and
21 each and every cause of action alleged therein that seeks punitive or exemplary damages, violates the
22 rights of Defendant to protection from "excessive fines" as provided in the Eighth Amendment to the
23 United States Constitution and in Article I, Section 17 of the Constitution of the State of California.

24 **EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

25 **(Exemplary Damages)**

26 18. Defendant alleges that no willful, fraudulent or malicious conduct occurred, and
27 therefore, Plaintiffs are not entitled to exemplary damages.

28 ///

1 **NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

2 **(Causation)**

3 19. Although Defendant denies that Plaintiffs have been damaged in any way, if it is
4 determined that Plaintiffs have been damaged, then Defendant is informed and believes, and based
5 thereon alleges, that the actual cause of such damages was the conduct of Plaintiffs or others for
6 which Defendant was not responsible.

7 **TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

8 **(In Pari Delicto)**

9 20. Defendant alleges that the claims are barred by reason of the fact that Plaintiffs have
10 engaged in acts and courses of conduct which render him in *pari delicto*.

11 **TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

12 **(Inequitable Conduct by Plaintiff)**

13 21. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because of
14 Plaintiffs' inequitable conduct.

15 **TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

16 **(No Damages)**

17 22. Plaintiffs have not suffered any damages as a result of any conduct or omission
18 attributable to Defendant.

19 **TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

20 **(Offset)**

21 23. Defendant alleges that she has incurred damages by reason of Plaintiffs' conduct and
22 that he has the right of offset of any amount of monies owed to Plaintiffs by way of damages, if any.

23 **TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

24 **(Bad Faith)**

25 24. Plaintiffs are not entitled to any relief sought by the Complaint because the claims
26 contained therein are barred by Plaintiffs' own acts of bad faith.

27 ///

1 **TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

2 **(Good Faith)**

3 25. All actions taken by Defendant with respect to Plaintiffs at all times relevant to this
4 action were taken in good faith.

5 **TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

6 **(Deceit and Fraud)**

7 26. Plaintiffs are guilty of deceit and fraud.

8 **TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

9 **(Standing)**

10 27. Plaintiffs have not sustained any legally cognizable injury, and therefore lack standing.

11 **TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

12 **(No Severe Emotional Distress)**

13 28. The alleged acts of Defendant were not outrageous, intentional or reckless, and
14 Plaintiffs did not suffer severe emotional distress as a result of Defendant's alleged acts.

15 **TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

16 **(Privilege)**

17 29. The claims alleged in the Complaint are barred, in whole or in part, because
18 Defendant had the privilege to engage in the activity that allegedly caused damage to Plaintiffs.

19 **Reservation of Additional Affirmative Defenses**

20 Defendant hereby reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses.

21 **PRAYER**

22 WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that this Court:

23 (1) Dismiss the Complaint with prejudice;

24 (2) Enter judgment in favor of Defendant on all claims;

25 (3) Award Defendant costs of suit incurred, including attorneys' fees to the extent
26 permitted by law; and

27 ///


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(4) Grant Defendant such other and further relief as may be deemed just and proper.

DATED: November 15, 2023

THE LAW OFFICES OF BENJAMIN TAYLOR
A Professional Corporation

By:



BENJAMIN TAYLOR
Attorneys for Defendant
DAVID NAJAR

1
2 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

3 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**)
4) **ss.**
5 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**)

6 At the time of service I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. My business address is 1880 Century Park East, Suite 714, Los Angeles, California 90067.

7 On **November 15, 2023**, I served ☐ the original ☒ a true copy of the within document(s) described as **ANSWER TO COMPLAINT** on all interested parties in this action as follows:

8
9 Michael A. Sherman, Esq.
Alexander P. Manglinong, Esq.
10 Stubbs Alderton & Markiles, LLP
15620 Ventura Blvd., 20th Floor
11 Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
IDEAL LIVING, LLC, PETER SPIEGEL,
AND KATHLEEN WILLIAMS

12 masherman@stubbsalderton.com
13 amanglinong@stubbsalderton.com

14
15 ☒ **BY E-MAIL:** I sent the above document(s) via electronic mail to the recipient(s) listed above at the e-mail address(es) listed above, and I did not receive any notification that the e-mail was not received.

16
17 I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on **November 15, 2023**, at Los Angeles, California.

18
19
20 Benjamin Taylor

21 _____
22 Name

Signature 